James Everett Shelton 316 Covered Bridge Road King of Prussia, PA 19406 (484) 626-3942 Jeshelton595@gmail.com Plaintiff, Pro Se

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES EVERETT SHELTON	:
	: No
Plaintiff	: :
v.	:
REGAL CAPITAL GROUP, LLC	: :
Defendant	: :
	COMPLAINT:

Plaintiff, James Everett Shelton, brings this action against Defendant, REGAL CAPITAL GROUP, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, and alleges based on personal knowledge and information, and belief, as follows:

Preliminary Statement

- 1. Plaintiff James Everett Shelton ("Plaintiff") brings this action under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, alleging that REGAL CAPITAL GROUP, LLC made at least twenty-eight (28) telemarketing calls and text messages for the purposes of commercial solicitation by contacting Plaintiff's personal and residential telephone number listed on the National Do-Not-Call Registry, which is prohibited by the TCPA.
- 2. Plaintiff never consented to receive any of these phone calls or text messages, which were placed to him for telemarketing purposes.

PARTIES

- 3. The Plaintiff is JAMES EVERETT SHELTON ("Plaintiff"), a natural person, and was the individual who received the alleged phone calls in this case on his private mobile and residential telephone.
- 4. Defendant REGAL CAPITAL GROUP, LLC ("Regal") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Wyoming, and can be served via its registered agent, Cloud Peak Law, LLC, 1095 Sugar View Dr Ste 500, Sheridan, WY 82801.
- 5. Defendant markets and sells, inter alia, loans and business financing to people in Pennsylvania, and engages in telemarketing into this district, as it did with the Plaintiff.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- **Subject-Matter Jurisdiction:** This Court has federal-question subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's TCPA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the TCPA is a federal statute. *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs.*, *LLC*, 556 U.S. 368, 372 (2012).
- Personal Jurisdiction: This Court has specific personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because Defendant has repeatedly placed calls and text messages to the Plaintiff who is a resident of this District and owns a telephone number with a Pennsylvania (484) area code which represents a Pennsylvania telephone service region in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and Defendant derives revenue from Pennsylvania residents, and they sell goods and services to people in Pennsylvania, including the Plaintiff.
- **8.** <u>Venue:</u> Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1)-(2) in that Defendant conducts business in, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, and Plaintiff was physically present in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for many of the calls as alleged in this Complaint, and

Plaintiff is a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Defendant conducts business in this judicial district by calling people in Pennsylvania.

Statutory Background

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act

- 9. In 1991, Congress enacted the TCPA to regulate the explosive growth of the telemarketing industry. In so doing, Congress recognized that "[u]nrestricted telemarketing ... can be an intrusive invasion of privacy[.]" Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-243, § 2(5) (1991) (codified at 47 U.S.C. § 227).
- 10. According to findings by the Federal Communication Commission ("FCC"), the agency Congress vested with authority to issue regulations implementing the TCPA, "wireless customers are charged for incoming calls whether they pay in advance or after the minutes are used." In re Rules and Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278, Report and Order, 18 F.C.C. Rcd. 14014, 14115 ¶ 165 (2003).

The National Do-Not-Call Registry

- 11. The National Do Not Call Registry allows consumers to register their telephone numbers and thereby indicate their desire not to receive telephone solicitations at those numbers. See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2). A listing on the Registry "must be honored indefinitely, or until the registration is cancelled by the consumer or the telephone number is removed by the database administrator." Id.
- 12. The TCPA and implementing regulations prohibit the initiation of telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers to the Registry. 47 U.S.C. § 227(c); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).
- 13. The TCPA provides a private cause of action to persons who receive calls in violation of § 227(c) or a regulation promulgated thereunder. 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5).

14. A text message is considered a "call" under the TCPA. *Campbell-Ewald Co. v. Gomez*, 136 S. Ct. 663 (2016).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS:

- **15.** Defendant provides loans and business financing services to people in Pennsylvania and nationwide.
- **16.** Defendant routinely violates the TCPA as a part of its business model and knowingly and willfully commits TCPA violations.
- 17. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff maintained and used a residential cellular telephone line, with phone number (484) 626-3942.
- **18.** At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was the subscriber of the telephone number (484) 626-3942 and paid his cell phone bill through T-Mobile.
- 19. All calls to Plaintiff described herein were to that number.
- **20.** It has been assigned to Plaintiff since 2014.
- 21. Plaintiff's phone number is his private cell phone number and is used by Plaintiff solely.
- **22.** Plaintiff's phone number is registered with T-Mobile as his personal telephone number and not as a business telephone number.
- 23. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff's telephone number was not held out by Plaintiff as the contact telephone number for any business.
- 24. Plaintiff's personal cellular telephone number is a "residential" telephone number that he uses for various personal, family, and household purposes, such as sending and receiving emails, timing food when cooking, sending and receiving text messages, calling friends and family while at home, using the phone's alarm function to wake up in the morning, and for navigation purposes.

- 25. The Plaintiff maintains no landline phones at his residence and has not done so for approximately eight (8) years and primarily relies on his cellular phone to communicate with friends and family.
- **26.** Plaintiff's personal cellular telephone number (484) 626-3942 has been registered on the National Do-Not-Call Registry since June 26, 2015.
- 27. Plaintiff registered his phone number on the Do Not Call Registry in order to obtain solitude from invasive and harassing telemarketing calls and text messages. The telemarketing calls prevented Plaintiff from using his phone for legitimate purposes.
- 28. The Plaintiff has received at least twenty-eight (28) telemarketing calls and text messages between September 22, 2022 and November 23, 2022 to his personal and residential cell phone number 484-626-3942, without his prior express written consent and not related to an emergency purpose, promoting working capital and/or financing services from Defendant.
- **29.** Call 1: On September 22, 2022 at 1:56 PM the Plaintiff received a telemarketing call which displayed on Plaintiff's caller identification as (484) 218-2241.
- **30.** Plaintiff answered.
- **31.** The Plaintiff heard a prerecorded message about his ability to receive business funding.
- **32.** Plaintiff was then transferred to "Ivan Lohr", a representative from Regal Capital Group, LLC.
- **33.** Defendant's representative pitched Plaintiff on a business loan.
- **34.** Plaintiff provided Defendant with his e-mail address and feigned interested in the telemarketing pitch for the sole purpose of identifying who was calling.

- 35. At no time did Plaintiff give Defendant permission to call him in the future. The Plaintiff told Defendant he would call them back if he had any questions or was interested in the Defendant's services.
- **36.** During the phone call, at 11:11 AM, Plaintiff received an e-mail contacting a Clixsign link. The Clixsign email was sent by Ivan Lohr (ilohr@regalcapgroup.com) requesting Plaintiff's signature on documents. *See* Exhibit "1", a true and correct copy of said e-mail.
- 37. Call 2 (first text): On September 22, 2022 at 11:11 AM, Plaintiff received a text message from phone number (949) 312-9600. The text message read:

"Document Signature Requested: https://clixsign.com/sign/d3e4dc969c02254ea18599c342411ac1. Reply STOP to unsubscribe."

- **38.** Plaintiff also received an e-mail at 11:13 AM, just two (2) minutes later, from ilohr@regalcapitalgroup.com, attempting to get Plaintiff to complete a "verification form" and send bank statements to Defendant.
- **39.** Call 3: On September 23, 2022 at 12:19 PM, Plaintiff received a telemarketing call from caller ID 949-281-2778, and spoke with Ivan Lohr from Regal Capital Group. Mr. Lohr attempted to get the Plaintiff to send him a signed application for funding.
- **40.** Plaintiff hung up the phone without giving Defendant permission to call back. The call lasted approximately one (1) minute.
- **41. Call 4 (second text)**: On September 23, 2022 at 1:18 PM, Plaintiff received a text message from Defendant from phone number 949-312-9600. The text read:
 - "Hey James, just a friendly reminder that we just need that signed app and 6 bank statements from you."
- **42.** Call 5 (third text): On September 25, 2022 at 1:19 PM, Plaintiff received another marketing text message from Defendant from phone number 949-312-9600.

- **43.** Call 6: On September 26, 2022 at 11:38 AM, Plaintiff received a missed telemarketing call and voicemail from Defendant from phone number 949-281-2778.
- **44. DNC Request:** On September 26, 2022 at 11:44 AM, Plaintiff e-mailed Defendant's representative, Ivan Lohr, <u>ilohr@regalcapitalgroup.com</u>, and wrote "Ivan please don't call me again, thanks." A true and correct copy of this e-mail is attached as Exhibit "2".
- **45.** Mr. Lohr responded to Plaintiff's DNC request sent via e-mail, indicating he received the email.
- **46.** Plaintiff believes, and therefore avers, that Defendant did not train their representatives to honor and abide by DNC requests made by consumers.
- 47. It is evidently Defendant's business practice to continue placing sales calls to consumers who have already made DNC requests and who have stated they are not interested in Defendant's services. Such conduct violates the TCPA and its implementing regulations, 47 CFR § 64.1200(d)(3)(requiring telemarketers to honor and record DNC requests when made).
- **48.** After Plaintiff's DNC request sent on September 26, 2022, Plaintiff received another twenty-two (22) telemarketing calls from Defendant, without his consent.
- **49. Call 7 (fourth text)**: On September 26, 2022 at 1:16 PM, Plaintiff received a text from phone number 949-312-9600, which read:
 - "Hey James, just a friendly reminder that we just need that signed app and 6 bank statements from you."
- **50.** For the sake of brevity, Table A below is a summary of the phone calls and text messages sent by Defendant to the Plaintiff's phone number:

Call Number	Date:	Time:	Caller ID:	Notes:
1	9/22/2022	11:03 AM	484-218-2241	Prerecorded Telemarketing Call. Received email at 11:11 AM.
2	9/22/2022	11:11 AM	949-312-9600	Text: Document Signature Requested: https://clixsign.com/sign/d3e4dc969c0225 4ea18599c342411ac1 . Reply STOP to unsubscribe."
3	9/23/2022	12:19 PM	949-281-2778	Telemarketing call lasted 1 minute.
4	9/25/2022	1:18 PM	949-312-9600	Text: Hey James it's Ivan Lohr with Regal. Did you receive the application I sent over to jeshelton595@gmail.com?
5	9/25/2022	1:19 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Hey James, just a friendly reminder that we just need that signed app and 6 bank statements from you."
6	9/26/2022	11:38 AM	949-281-2778	Missed call, 27 second long voicemail.
<u>DNC</u>	9/26/2022	1:16 PM	949-312-9600	DNC Email: "Ivan please don't call me
Request	0 /0 4 /0 0 0 0	4.4.553.5		again, thanks."
7	9/26/2022	1:16 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Hey James, just a friendly reminder that we just need that signed app and 6 bank statements from you."
8	9/27/2022	5:23 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Hello James, this is Ivan. I understand you are busy. You said you need funds for a new route to San Diego. Please contact me once you are available."
9	9/28/2022	6:17 PM	949-281-2778	Missed Call
10	9/29/2022	1:25 PM	949-281-2778	Missed Call & Voicemail.
11	10/5/2022	11:26 AM	949-281-2778	Missed Call & Voicemail
12	10/5/2022	11:27 AM	949-281-2778	Text: "Hello James, Ivan here. Let me know if you are still in need of funding for the new route to San Diego, or if you are not interested anymore. Thank you!"
13	10/7/2022	1:24 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Hey James it's Ivan Lohr, can you give me a call please?"
14	10/7/2022	2:36 PM	949-281-2778	Missed Call & Voicemail
15	10/10/2022	2:59 PM	949-281-2778	Missed Call
16	10/19/2022	10:39 AM	949-281-2778	Missed Call
17	10/22/2022	2:15 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Hey James, are you still considering a line of credit or loan for your business? I'm here to helpIvan Lohr"
18	10/31/2022	1:54 PM	949-281-2778	Telemarketing call. Did not give permission for calls back.
19	10/31/2022	2:57 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Document Signature Requested: https://clixsign.com/sign/f25d78907c7be38 e73313b41ec39c2d1"

20	11/1/2022	4:39 PM	949-281-2778	Missed Call
21	11/2/2022	1:15 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Hey James, it's Ivan Lohr with
				Regal. Did you receive the application I
				sent over to jeshelton595@gmail.com?"
22	11/4/2022	1:15 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Hey James, just a friendly reminder
				that we just need that signed app and 6
				bank statements from you."
23	11/4/2022	3:48 PM	949-281-2778	Missed Call
24	11/5/2022	1:16 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Hey James, just a friendly reminder
				that we just need that signed app and 6
				bank statements from you."
25	11/8/2022	12:20 PM	949-281-2778	Missed Call
26	11/16/2022	10:21 AM	949-281-2778	Missed Call
27	11/16/2022	1:17 PM	949-312-9600	Text: "Hey James it's Ivan Lohr, can you
				give me a call please?"
28	11/23/2022	12:45 PM	949-281-2778	Missed Call

- **51.** Plaintiff did not want or need a loan or any financing.
- **52.** Defendant did not have the Plaintiff's prior express written consent to send any of these text messages or phone calls.
- 53. Prior to these unsolicited telephone communications, the Plaintiff has never done any business with Defendant and Plaintiff never provided Defendant with his cellular telephone number.
- **54.** At no time during any of Plaintiff's interactions with Defendant did Plaintiff give his consent to receive calls back in the future.
- 55. At no time did Plaintiff ever purchase any of the Defendant's products or services.
- 56. To the extent Defendant contends that it obtained consent or agreement from Plaintiff for the calls at issue here, the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.5(a)(5), requires that such records be maintained. In any event, consent is an affirmative defense under the TCPA, this defense is unavailable unless Defendant can show that they had prior express consent in writing, and that they have otherwise complied with all of the requirements of 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2),

including maintaining written procedures on national do-not-call rules, training personnel on national do-not-call rules, maintaining an internal do-not-call list, and accessing the national do-not-call database no more than 31 days prior to making any calls, and maintaining records documenting such access. Defendant did not have prior express written consent to such calls from Plaintiff.

- 57. Plaintiff pays for each incoming and outgoing call on his telephone under an unlimited calling arrangement, as defined and set forth in 47 CFR § 64.1200(a)(1)(iii).
- 58. Plaintiff received the calls on his private mobile telephone, as defined and set forth in 47 CFR § 64.1200(a)(1)(iii). Plaintiff's telephone number is registered with T-Mobile as a cellular telephone number in his personal name and is used for personal purposes.
- **59.** These telephone solicitations constituted "calls" under the TCPA that were not for emergency purposes.
- **60.** Defendant is not an organization exempt from the TCPA.
- **61.** Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were "telephone solicitations" as defined by the TCPA.
- **62.** Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were "unsolicited advertisements" as defined by the TCPA.
- 63. Plaintiff was harmed by these calls. Plaintiff was temporarily deprived of legitimate use of his phone because of the text message and telephone call notifications, and his privacy was improperly invaded. Moreover, these calls injured Plaintiff because they were frustrating, obnoxious, annoying, were a nuisance and disturbed the solitude of plaintiff. The calls caused Plaintiff's cell phone battery's depletion, used up cellular data, and prevented Plaintiff from otherwise using his telephone for lawful purposes.
- **64.** In summary, Plaintiff received twenty-eight (28) telemarketing calls and text from Defendant to Plaintiff's personal residential cell phone number which is registered on the

National Do-Not-Call list. The first call contained a pre-recorded voice message, in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

Defendant Failed and/or Refused to Produce their DNC Policy to Plaintiff

- **65.** Prior to filing this lawsuit, on November 25, 2022, Plaintiff e-mailed Defendant and asked to receive a copy of Defendant's internal "Do-Not-Call Policy". *See* Exhibit "2".
- **66.** On November 28, 2022, Defendant acknowledged receipt of Plaintiff's e-mail and said "Understood". *See* Exhibit "2".
- 67. Despite this request, Plaintiff never received any Do-Not-Call Policy from the Defendant.
- **68.** Plaintiff believes, and therefore avers, that this is because Defendant does not maintain a Do-Not-Call Policy, and do not have procedures in place to comply with the TCPA and National Do-Not-Call Registry's requirements.
- **69.** Plaintiff believes, and therefore avers, that Defendant does not purchase the National Do-Not-Call Registry from the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC").
- **70.** Defendant does not scrub its telemarketing lists against the National Do-Not-Call Registry.
- **71.** Defendant does not train their employees, representatives, and/or agents to comply with "Do-Not-Call requests" from called parties, including those who are on the Do-Not-Call list.

Causes Of Action

Count One:

(Violation of the TCPA "Sales Call/DNC" Prohibition, 47 U.S.C. § 227(c) et seq.)

72. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, each of the paragraphs above.

- **73.** Defendant called Plaintiff's private residential telephone number which was successfully registered on the National Do-Not-Call Registry more than thirty-one (31) days prior to the calls, in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(3)(F), and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).
- **74.** Plaintiff was statutorily damaged at least twenty-eight (28) times under 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(3)(F) by the Defendant by the telephone calls described above, in the amount of \$500.00 per call.
- 75. Plaintiff was further statutorily damaged because Defendant willfully and/or knowingly violated this subsection of the TCPA. Plaintiff requests that the court treble the damage amount as permitted under U.S.C. § 227(c)(5) for each and every willful and/or knowing violation.
- **76.** As a result of Defendant's and Defendant's agent's violations of 47 U.S.C. §227(c)(3)(F), and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2), Plaintiff seeks for himself \$500.00 in statutory damages, or \$1,500.00 if trebled as permitted by statute, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(c)(3)(F).
- 77. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5)(A), Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

Count Two:

("Calling a Cellular Telephone Number Using a Prerecorded and/or Artificial Voice"), U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii)

- **78.** Plaintiff incorporates and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, each of the paragraphs above.
- 79. Defendants called Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an "artificial or prerecorded voice" as defined by the TCPA on at least one (1) occasion in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- **80.** Plaintiff was statutorily damaged at least one (1) time under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) by Defendants by the telephone call described above, in the amount of \$500.00.

- **81.** Plaintiff was further statutorily damaged because Defendant willfully or knowingly violated this subsection of the TCPA. Plaintiff requests that the court treble the damage amount to \$1,500.00 as permitted under U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C) for this willful and/or knowing violation.
- **82.** Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

Count Three:

(Violation of the TCPA's Implementing Regulations "Do Not Call Policy" Requirement, 47 C.F.R. 64.1200(d)(1))

- **83.** Plaintiff incorporates and realleges, as though fully set forth herein, each of the paragraphs above.
- **84.** Defendant failed and/or refused to provide Plaintiff with a copy of their Do-Not-Call Policy, after Plaintiff requested it in writing on November 25, 2022.
- **85.** Defendant made at least one twenty-eight (28) calls to the Plaintiff without having a Do-Not-Call Policy or Do-Not-Call procedures in place during the calls.
- **86.** As a result of Defendant's and Defendant's agents' negligent violations of 47 CFR § 64.1200(d)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself \$500 in statutory damages, pursuant to the implied private right of action.
- 87. Plaintiff was statutorily damaged one (1) time under 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(3)(F) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(d)(1) by the Defendant when they failed and/or refused to provide Plaintiff with a copy of Defendant's Do-Not-Call policy, in the amount of \$500.00.
- **88.** Plaintiff was further statutorily damaged because Defendant willfully and/or knowingly violated this subsection of the TCPA and its implementing regulations.

89. As a result of Defendant's and Defendant's agent's knowing and/or willful violation of

47 CFR § 64.1200(d)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself \$1,500.00 in trebled statutory damages, as

permitted under U.S.C. § 227(c)(5) for this willful and/or knowing violation.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff James Everett Shelton prays for judgment against the Defendant as

follows:

A. Leave to amend this Complaint to name additional DOES as they are identified and to

conform to the evidence presented at trial;

B. A declaration that actions complained of herein by Defendant violated the TCPA.

C. An injunction enjoining Defendant and its affiliates and agents from engaging in the

unlawful conduct set forth herein;

On Counts I:

D. For awards of \$500 for each negligent violation as set forth in Counts I-III.

E. For awards of \$1,500 for each knowing and/or willful violation as set forth in Count I-III.

Total Damages Requested: \$45,000.00

Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims so triable.

Dated: November 28, 2022

/s/ James Everett Shelton

James Everett Shelton Plaintiff, Pro Se 316 Covered Bridge Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Phone: 484-626-3942

Jeshelton595@gmail.com

14

Case 2:22-cv-04753-JMY Document 1 Filed 11/28/22 Page 15 of 17

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
JAMES EVERETT SHELTON				REGAL CAPITAL GROUP, LLC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff MONTGOMERY				County of Res	idence of		_	HERIDAN		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)						1	LAINTIFF CASES O ON CASES, USE T	,	OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name.	Address, and Telephone Number,			Attorneys (If k		LANDIN	VOLVED.			
	Shelton, Plaintiff Pro S									
	ing of Prussia, PA 194		42							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		FIZENSHIP (For Diversity Cases		INCIPA		Place an "X" in and One Box for		
U.S. Government Plaintiff			Citize	en of This State	PTF	DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	_ 2	_ 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		<u> </u>	5
4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	(Place an "X" in One Box Only		EC.	PREITI PE/BEN I			for: Nature of S		STATUT	*****************
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		RFEITURE/PENA 5 Drug Related Seizu		_	eal 28 USC 158	375 False (
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USO		423 Wit	hdrawal	376 Qui Ta	ım (31 US	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	H ⁶⁹	0 Other		28 1	USC 157	3729(a 400 State R		nment
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical					TY RIGHTS	410 Antitru	ist	
& Enforcement of Judgmen	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			-	820 Cop 830 Pate		430 Banks 450 Comm		ing
152 Recovery of Defaulted		368 Asbestos Personal			E	_	ent - Abbreviated	460 Deport	ation	
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product				New 840 Trac	Drug Application	470 Racket	eer Influer t Organiza	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT	TY	LABOR			end Trade Secrets	480 Consur		
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Fair Labor Standard	ds	_	of 2016	(15 US	SC 1681 or	r 1692)
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	172	Act Databor/Management	.	800IA	L SECURITY	× 485 Teleph	one Consu	ımer
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	H'2	Relations	` F		(1395ff)	490 Cable/		
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	_		ck Lung (923)	850 Securit		nodities/
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	75	1 Family and Medica Leave Act	ul -		VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	890 Other S		Actions
REAL PROPERTY		PRISONER PETITION	S 79	Other Labor Litigat	tion	865 RSI		891 Agricu		
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	79	1 Employee Retireme				893 Enviro		
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting 442 Employment	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate		Income Security Ac	ct		es (U.S. Plaintiff	895 Freedo Act	m of Infor	mation
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence Sentence					Defendant)	896 Arbitra	ition	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General				_	—Third Party	899 Admin		
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	146	IMMIGRATION Naturalization App		20	USC 7609		view or Ap Decision	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Othe		5 Other Immigration				950 Constit	tutionality	
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Actions				State S	atutes	
	H 448 Education	560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)	Confinement								
x 1 Original 2 Re	moved from 3 R		4 Reins		ransferre		6 Multidistri		Multidis	
Proceeding Sta		ppellate Court	Reop	(3	Another I specify)		Litigation Transfer	-	Litigatio Direct F	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statu 47 U.S.C. Section 227 et		e filing (D	o not cite jurisdiction	nal statut	es unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cau Violations of the TCPA	se:								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23,	S A CLASS ACTION F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$			HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in	complai	
VIII. RELATED CASI			-10			J.	DENTALLE.			
IF ANY	(See instructions):	UDGE				_DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEX C	FRECORD						
11/28/2022		Hamee E.	She	two						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
DECEIDT # A)	MOUNT	ADDI VING IED		пп	OGE		MAG IIII	OGE		

Case 2:22-cv-04753-JMY Document 1 Filed 11/28/22 Page 16 of 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 316	Covered Bridge Road, King of Pr	russia, PA 19406		
Address of Defendant: c/o Cloud Peak	Law, LLC, 1095 Sugar View Dr S	Ste 500, Sheridan, WY 82801		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: By				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to	any of the following questions:			
 Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or gr pending or within one year previously terminated		Yes No 🗸		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement numbered case pending or within one year previous		Yes No 🗸		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, case filed by the same individual?	social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🗸		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is this court except as noted above. DATE: 11/28/2022	James & Shilton Must sign here	Pro Se		
	Attorney at Law Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction (Cases:		
 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al FELA Jones Act-Personal Injury Antitrust Patent Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle I 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify):	ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ty ty — Asbestos sity Cases		
(The effect of	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION this certification is to remove the case from eligibility	for arbitration.)		
I, James Everett Shelton, coul	nsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:			
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of it	that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the d nterest and costs:	amages recoverable in this civil action case		
Relief other than monetary damages is sought	Damee E. Shelton			
DATE: 11/28/2022	Sign here if applicable	Pro Se		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has be	Attorney at Law (Pro Se Plaintiff)	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX N	umber	E-Mail Address		
484-626-3942	Y 		jeshelton595@gmail.com	ı	_
Date	Attorne	y-at-law Prose	Attorney for		_
11/28/2022	Samer	E. Shelton	Pro Se		
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do	not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	()	()
(e) Special Management – commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse management cases.)	s complex and	that need special	or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for	r personal injury o	or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	aired to be des	ignated for arbitra	tion under Local Civil Rule 53.2	2. ()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING	CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Manageme we a copy on all event that a d shall, with its arties, a Case N	ent Track Designa I defendants. (See efendant does not first appearance, s Management Tracl	eduction Plan of this court, courtion Form in all civil cases at the § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the t agree with the plaintiff regardisubmit to the clerk of court and sk Designation Form specifying the	time rever ng sa erve o	of se id on
REGAL CAPITAL GROU	JP, LLC	:	NO.		
v.					
JAMES EVERETT SHEL	TON	:	CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02